On the toric ideal of a matroid and related problems

Michał Lasoń

Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences

Levico Terme, 9th September 2014

what is a matroid?

what is a matroid?

A structure that abstracts the idea of independence.

what is a matroid?

A structure that abstracts the idea of independence.
Matroid M on a ground set E can be introduced in several ways:

what is a matroid?

A structure that abstracts the idea of independence.
Matroid M on a ground set E can be introduced in several ways:

- independent sets

what is a matroid?

A structure that abstracts the idea of independence.
Matroid M on a ground set E can be introduced in several ways:

- independent sets
- bases

what is a matroid?

A structure that abstracts the idea of independence.
Matroid M on a ground set E can be introduced in several ways:

- independent sets
- bases, the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the following axiom:

what is a matroid?

A structure that abstracts the idea of independence.
Matroid M on a ground set E can be introduced in several ways:

- independent sets
- bases, the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the following axiom:
  - exchange property:
    for $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ and $b' \in B' \setminus B$ there is $b \in B \setminus B'$ such that $(B \setminus b) \cup b' \in \mathcal{B}$

what is a matroid?

A structure that abstracts the idea of independence.
Matroid M on a ground set E can be introduced in several ways:

- independent sets
- bases, the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the following axiom:
  - exchange property:
    for $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ and $b' \in B' \setminus B$ there is $b \in B \setminus B'$ such that $(B \setminus b) \cup b' \in \mathcal{B}$

  as a consequence it satisfies also:
  - symmetric exchange property

what is a matroid?

A structure that abstracts the idea of independence.
Matroid M on a ground set E can be introduced in several ways:

- independent sets
- bases, the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the following axiom:
  - exchange property:
    for $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ and $b' \in B' \setminus B$ there is $b \in B \setminus B'$ such that $(B \setminus b) \cup b' \in \mathcal{B}$

  as a consequence it satisfies also:
  - symmetric exchange property
  - multiple symmetric exchange property

what is a matroid?

A structure that abstracts the idea of independence.
Matroid M on a ground set E can be introduced in several ways:

- independent sets
- bases, the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the following axiom:
  - exchange property:
    for $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ and $b' \in B' \setminus B$ there is $b \in B \setminus B'$ such that $(B \setminus b) \cup b' \in \mathcal{B}$

  as a consequence it satisfies also:
  - symmetric exchange property
  - multiple symmetric exchange property
- rank function

what is a matroid?

A structure that abstracts the idea of independence.
Matroid M on a ground set E can be introduced in several ways:

- independent sets
- bases, the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the following axiom:
  - exchange property:
    for $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ and $b' \in B' \setminus B$ there is $b \in B \setminus B'$ such that $(B \setminus b) \cup b' \in \mathcal{B}$

  as a consequence it satisfies also:
  - symmetric exchange property
  - multiple symmetric exchange property
- rank function
- ... and by many other ways (circuits, flats, hyperplanes)

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d
  bases – subsets of E of cardinality exactly d

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d
  bases – subsets of E of cardinality exactly d
- representable matroid: E – a finite subset of a vector space

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d
  bases – subsets of E of cardinality exactly d
- representable matroid: E – a finite subset of a vector space
  independent sets – linearly independent subsets of E

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d
  bases – subsets of E of cardinality exactly d
- representable matroid: E – a finite subset of a vector space
  independent sets – linearly independent subsets of E
  bases – bases of E in the vector space

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d
  bases – subsets of E of cardinality exactly d
- representable matroid: E – a finite subset of a vector space
  independent sets – linearly independent subsets of E
  bases – bases of E in the vector space
- graphic matroid: E – the set of edges in a given graph G

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d
  bases – subsets of E of cardinality exactly d
- representable matroid: E – a finite subset of a vector space
  independent sets – linearly independent subsets of E
  bases – bases of E in the vector space
- graphic matroid: E – the set of edges in a given graph G
  independent sets – subsets of E that do not contain a cycle

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d
  bases – subsets of E of cardinality exactly d
- representable matroid: E – a finite subset of a vector space
  independent sets – linearly independent subsets of E
  bases – bases of E in the vector space
- graphic matroid: E – the set of edges in a given graph G
  independent sets – subsets of E that do not contain a cycle
  bases – spanning trees of G

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d
  bases – subsets of E of cardinality exactly d
- representable matroid: E – a finite subset of a vector space
  independent sets – linearly independent subsets of E
  bases – bases of E in the vector space
- graphic matroid: E – the set of edges in a given graph G
  independent sets – subsets of E that do not contain a cycle
  bases – spanning trees of G
- transwersal matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set together with a multiset $\mathcal{A}$ of its subsets

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d
  bases – subsets of E of cardinality exactly d
- representable matroid: E – a finite subset of a vector space
  independent sets – linearly independent subsets of E
  bases – bases of E in the vector space
- graphic matroid: E – the set of edges in a given graph G
  independent sets – subsets of E that do not contain a cycle
  bases – spanning trees of G
- transwersal matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set together with a multiset $\mathcal{A}$ of its subsets
  independent sets – transwersals of $\mathcal{A}$

examples

- uniform matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set
  independent sets – subsets of E of cardinality at most d
  bases – subsets of E of cardinality exactly d
- representable matroid: E – a finite subset of a vector space
  independent sets – linearly independent subsets of E
  bases – bases of E in the vector space
- graphic matroid: E – the set of edges in a given graph G
  independent sets – subsets of E that do not contain a cycle
  bases – spanning trees of G
- transwersal matroid: E – an arbitrary finite set together with a multiset $\mathcal{A}$ of its subsets
  independent sets – transwersals of $\mathcal{A}$
  bases – maximum transwersals of $\mathcal{A}$

toric ideal of a matroid

toric ideal of a matroid

M a matroid on a ground set E with the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathbb{K}$ a field

toric ideal of a matroid

M a matroid on a ground set E with the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathbb{K}$ a field

$$S_M := \mathbb{K}[y_B : B \in \mathcal{B}]$$

toric ideal of a matroid

M a matroid on a ground set E with the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathbb{K}$ a field

$$S_M := \mathbb{K}[y_B : B \in \mathcal{B}]$$

There is a natural $\mathbb{K}$-homomorphism:

toric ideal of a matroid

M a matroid on a ground set E with the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathbb{K}$ a field

$$S_M := \mathbb{K}[y_B : B \in \mathcal{B}]$$

There is a natural $\mathbb{K}$-homomorphism:

$$\varphi_M : S_M \ni y_B \to \prod_{e \in B} x_e \in \mathbb{K}[x_e : e \in E]$$

toric ideal of a matroid

M a matroid on a ground set E with the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathbb{K}$ a field

$$S_M := \mathbb{K}[y_B : B \in \mathcal{B}]$$

There is a natural $\mathbb{K}$-homomorphism:

$$\varphi_M : S_M \ni y_B \to \prod_{e \in B} x_e \in \mathbb{K}[x_e : e \in E]$$

Toric ideal of M, is the kernel $I_M := \ker \varphi_M$.

toric ideal of a matroid

M a matroid on a ground set E with the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathbb{K}$ a field

$$S_M := \mathbb{K}[y_B : B \in \mathcal{B}]$$

There is a natural $\mathbb{K}$-homomorphism:

$$\varphi_M : S_M \ni y_B \to \prod_{e \in B} x_e \in \mathbb{K}[x_e : e \in E]$$

Toric ideal of M, is the kernel $I_M := \ker \varphi_M$.

By the symmetric exchange property from bases B, B' we get bases

$$D = (B \setminus e) \cup f \text{ and } D' = (B' \setminus f) \cup e$$

for some $e \in B$ and $f \in B'$.

toric ideal of a matroid

M a matroid on a ground set E with the set of bases $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathbb{K}$ a field

$$S_M := \mathbb{K}[y_B : B \in \mathcal{B}]$$

There is a natural $\mathbb{K}$-homomorphism:

$$\varphi_M : S_M \ni y_B \to \prod_{e \in B} x_e \in \mathbb{K}[x_e : e \in E]$$

Toric ideal of M, is the kernel $I_M := \ker \varphi_M$.

By the symmetric exchange property from bases B, B' we get bases

$$D = (B \setminus e) \cup f \text{ and } D' = (B' \setminus f) \cup e$$

for some $e \in B$ and $f \in B'$. Then clearly $y_B y_{B'} - y_D y_{D'} \in I_M$.

White's conjectures

Conjecture (White '80)

For every matroid M:

White's conjectures

Conjecture (White '80)

For every matroid M:

- *WEAK: I_M is generated by quadratic binomials*

White's conjectures

Conjecture (White '80)

For every matroid M:

- *WEAK: I_M is generated by quadratic binomials*
- *CLASSIC: I_M is generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges*

White's conjectures

Conjecture (White '80)

For every matroid M:

- *WEAK: I_M is generated by quadratic binomials*
- *CLASSIC: I_M is generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges*
- *STRONG: I_M in noncommutative ring S_M is generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges*

what is known?

what is known?

- '02 Herzog, Hibi: equivalent for discrete polymatroids

what is known?

- '02 Herzog, Hibi: equivalent for discrete polymatroids
- '07 Conca: WEAK for transversal polymatroids

what is known?

- '02 Herzog, Hibi: equivalent for discrete polymatroids
- '07 Conca: WEAK for transversal polymatroids
- '08 Blasiak: CLASSIC for graphic matroids

what is known?

- '02 Herzog, Hibi: equivalent for discrete polymatroids
- '07 Conca: WEAK for transversal polymatroids
- '08 Blasiak: CLASSIC for graphic matroids
- '10 Kashiwabara: CLASSIC for matroids of rank $\leqslant 3$

what is known?

- '02 Herzog, Hibi: equivalent for discrete polymatroids
- '07 Conca: WEAK for transversal polymatroids
- '08 Blasiak: CLASSIC for graphic matroids
- '10 Kashiwabara: CLASSIC for matroids of rank $\leqslant 3$
- '11 Schweig: CLASSIC for lattice path matroids
  (lattice path matroids is a subclass of transversal matroids)

what is known?

- '02 Herzog, Hibi: equivalent for discrete polymatroids
- '07 Conca: WEAK for transversal polymatroids
- '08 Blasiak: CLASSIC for graphic matroids
- '10 Kashiwabara: CLASSIC for matroids of rank $\leqslant 3$
- '11 Schweig: CLASSIC for lattice path matroids
  (lattice path matroids is a subclass of transversal matroids)
- '13 Bonin: STRONG for sparse paving matroids

what is known?

- ’02 Herzog, Hibi: equivalent for discrete polymatroids
- ’07 Conca: WEAK for transversal polymatroids
- ’08 Blasiak: CLASSIC for graphic matroids
- ’10 Kashiwabara: CLASSIC for matroids of rank $\leqslant 3$
- ’11 Schweig: CLASSIC for lattice path matroids
  (lattice path matroids is a subclass of transversal matroids)
- ’13 Bonin: STRONG for sparse paving matroids
- ’14 L., Michałek: STRONG for strongly base orderable matroids (contain transversal matroids)

what is known?

- '02 Herzog, Hibi: equivalent for discrete polymatroids
- '07 Conca: WEAK for transversal polymatroids
- '08 Blasiak: CLASSIC for graphic matroids
- '10 Kashiwabara: CLASSIC for matroids of rank $\leqslant 3$
- '11 Schweig: CLASSIC for lattice path matroids
  (lattice path matroids is a subclass of transversal matroids)
- '13 Bonin: STRONG for sparse paving matroids
- '14 L., Michałek: STRONG for strongly base orderable matroids (contain transversal matroids) and
  CLASSIC up to saturation for arbitrary matroids

our results

M is strongly base orderable if for any two bases B and B' there exists a bijection $\pi : B \to B'$ satisfying multiple symmetric exchange property

our results

M is strongly base orderable if for any two bases B and B' there exists a bijection $\pi : B \to B'$ satisfying multiple symmetric exchange property, that is

$$B \cup \pi(A) \setminus A \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for any } A \subset B.$$

our results

M is strongly base orderable if for any two bases B and B' there exists a bijection $\pi : B \to B'$ satisfying multiple symmetric exchange property, that is

$$B \cup \pi(A) \setminus A \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for any } A \subset B.$$

The class of strongly base orderable matroids is closed under taking minors, and contains transversal matroids.

our results

M is strongly base orderable if for any two bases B and B' there exists a bijection $\pi : B \to B'$ satisfying multiple symmetric exchange property, that is

$$B \cup \pi(A) \setminus A \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for any } A \subset B.$$

The class of strongly base orderable matroids is closed under taking minors, and contains transversal matroids.

Theorem (L., Michałek '14)

If M is a strongly base orderable matroid, then $M \in STRONG$.

our results

Let J_M be the ideal generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges.

our results

Let J_M be the ideal generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges. Clearly, $J_M \subset I_M$.

our results

Let J_M be the ideal generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges. Clearly, $J_M \subset I_M$.

CLASSIC asserts that $J_M = I_M$.

our results

Let J_M be the ideal generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges. Clearly, $J_M \subset I_M$.

CLASSIC asserts that $J_M = I_M$. In other words, affine schemes $\mathrm{Spec}(S_M/I_M)$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(S_M/J_M)$ are equal.

our results

Let J_M be the ideal generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges. Clearly, $J_M \subset I_M$.

CLASSIC asserts that $J_M = I_M$. In other words, affine schemes $\mathrm{Spec}(S_M/I_M)$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(S_M/J_M)$ are equal.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the ideal generated by all variables in S_M (so called irrelevant ideal).

our results

Let J_M be the ideal generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges. Clearly, $J_M \subset I_M$.

CLASSIC asserts that $J_M = I_M$. In other words, affine schemes $\mathrm{Spec}(S_M/I_M)$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(S_M/J_M)$ are equal.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the ideal generated by all variables in S_M (so called irrelevant ideal).

Theorem (L., Michałek '14)

The saturation of J_M with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ equals to I_M.

our results

Let J_M be the ideal generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges. Clearly, $J_M \subset I_M$.

CLASSIC asserts that $J_M = I_M$. In other words, affine schemes $\mathrm{Spec}(S_M/I_M)$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(S_M/J_M)$ are equal.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the ideal generated by all variables in S_M (so called irrelevant ideal).

Theorem (L., Michałek '14)

The saturation of J_M with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ equals to I_M. Projective schemes $\mathrm{Proj}(S_M/I_M)$ and $\mathrm{Proj}(S_M/J_M)$ are equal.

why up to saturation?

Fix a basis B, we have to show that $y_B^n I_M \subset J_M$ holds for some n.

why up to saturation?

Fix a basis B, we have to show that $y_B^n I_M \subset J_M$ holds for some n.
Take $b = y_{B_1} \cdots y_{B_n} - y_{D_1} \cdots y_{D_n} \in I_M$.

why up to saturation?

Fix a basis B, we have to show that $y_B^n I_M \subset J_M$ holds for some n. Take $b = y_{B_1} \cdots y_{B_n} - y_{D_1} \cdots y_{D_n} \in I_M$. Multiplying by a high power of y_B we reduce the problem of generating b to the case when for each i holds

$$B_i = (B \setminus f_i) \cup e_i \text{ and } D_i = (B \setminus f_i) \cup e_{i-1},$$

for $B = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ and some elements $e_1, \ldots, e_n$.

why up to saturation?

Fix a basis B, we have to show that $y_B^n I_M \subset J_M$ holds for some n. Take $b = y_{B_1} \cdots y_{B_n} - y_{D_1} \cdots y_{D_n} \in I_M$. Multiplying by a high power of y_B we reduce the problem of generating b to the case when for each i holds

$$B_i = (B \setminus f_i) \cup e_i \text{ and } D_i = (B \setminus f_i) \cup e_{i-1},$$

for $B = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ and some elements $e_1, \dots, e_n$.

We can show that this elements are generated by quadratic binomials corresponding to symmetric exchanges.

relations between conjectures

All classes are closed under taking minors and dual matroid.

relations between conjectures

All classes are closed under taking minors and dual matroid.
Classes *WEAK* and *STRONG* are closed under direct sum.

relations between conjectures

All classes are closed under taking minors and dual matroid.
Classes *WEAK* and *STRONG* are closed under direct sum.

Proposition

For a matroid M the following conditions are equivalent:

- $M \in$ *STRONG*
- $M \oplus M \in$ *STRONG*
- $M \oplus M \in$ *CLASSIC*

relations between conjectures

All classes are closed under taking minors and dual matroid. Classes *WEAK* and *STRONG* are closed under direct sum.

Proposition

For a matroid M the following conditions are equivalent:

- $M \in$ *STRONG*
- $M \oplus M \in$ *STRONG*
- $M \oplus M \in$ *CLASSIC*

If a class of matroids $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under direct sums, then $\mathcal{C} \subset$ *STRONG* if and only if $\mathcal{C} \subset$ *CLASSIC*.

relations between conjectures

All classes are closed under taking minors and dual matroid. Classes *WEAK* and *STRONG* are closed under direct sum.

Proposition

For a matroid M the following conditions are equivalent:

- $M \in$ *STRONG*
- $M \oplus M \in$ *STRONG*
- $M \oplus M \in$ *CLASSIC*

If a class of matroids $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under direct sums, then $\mathcal{C} \subset$ *STRONG* if and only if $\mathcal{C} \subset$ *CLASSIC*. In particular, strongly base orderable, graphical, and cographical matroids belong to *STRONG*.

relations between conjectures

All classes are closed under taking minors and dual matroid. Classes *WEAK* and *STRONG* are closed under direct sum.

Proposition

For a matroid M the following conditions are equivalent:

- $M \in$ *STRONG*
- $M \oplus M \in$ *STRONG*
- $M \oplus M \in$ *CLASSIC*

If a class of matroids $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under direct sums, then $\mathcal{C} \subset$ *STRONG* if and only if $\mathcal{C} \subset$ *CLASSIC*. In particular, strongly base orderable, graphical, and cographical matroids belong to *STRONG*.

Moreover, *CLASSIC* is closed under direct sum if and only if *STRONG* $=$ *CLASSIC*, we believe it is an open question.

cyclic ordering conjecture

Conjecture (Kajitani, Ueno, Miyano '88)

Let $M = (E, r)$ be a matroid. Equivalent are:

- *for each $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$ the inequality $\frac{|A|}{r(A)} \leqslant \frac{|E|}{r(E)}$ holds*
- *it is possible to place elements of E on a circle in such a way that any $r(E)$ cyclically consecutive elements form a basis*

cyclic ordering conjecture

Conjecture (Kajitani, Ueno, Miyano '88)

Let $M = (E, r)$ be a matroid. Equivalent are:

- *for each $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$ the inequality $\frac{|A|}{r(A)} \leqslant \frac{|E|}{r(E)}$ holds*
- *it is possible to place elements of E on a circle in such a way that any $r(E)$ cyclically consecutive elements form a basis*

Theorem (van den Heuvel, Thomassé '12)

If $|E|$ and $r(E)$ are coprime, then cyclic ordering conjecture holds for $M = (E, r)$.

base graph of a 2-matroid

2-matroid is a matroid in which E is a union of two disjoint bases.

base graph of a 2-matroid

2-matroid is a matroid in which E is a union of two disjoint bases. For 2-matroid M let $\mathfrak{B}_2(M)$ be a graph having as vertices pairs of bases (B_1, B_2) which sum to E, and edges between vertices obtained by a symmetric exchange property.

base graph of a 2-matroid

2-matroid is a matroid in which E is a union of two disjoint bases. For 2-matroid M let $\mathfrak{B}_2(M)$ be a graph having as vertices pairs of bases (B_1, B_2) which sum to E, and edges between vertices obtained by a symmetric exchange property.

Conjecture (cyclic ordering conjecture for a 2-matroid)

There exist complementary bases B_1, B_2 in M, such that vertices (B_1, B_2) and (B_2, B_1) in the graph $\mathfrak{B}_2(M)$ are connected by a path of length at most $r(E)$.

base graph of a 2-matroid

2-matroid is a matroid in which E is a union of two disjoint bases. For 2-matroid M let $\mathfrak{B}_2(M)$ be a graph having as vertices pairs of bases (B_1, B_2) which sum to E, and edges between vertices obtained by a symmetric exchange property.

Conjecture (cyclic ordering conjecture for a 2-matroid)

There exist complementary bases B_1, B_2 in M, such that vertices (B_1, B_2) and (B_2, B_1) in the graph $\mathfrak{B}_2(M)$ are connected by a path of length at most $r(E)$.

Conjecture (Farber, Richter, Shank '85)

For every 2-matroid M the graph $\mathfrak{B}_2(M)$ is connected.

base graph of a k-matroid

k-matroid (for $k \geqslant 3$) is a matroid in which E is a union of k disjoint bases.

base graph of a k-matroid

k-matroid (for $k \geqslant 3$) is a matroid in which E is a union of k disjoint bases. For a k-matroid M let $\mathfrak{B}_k(M)$ be a graph whose vertices are sets of k bases $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$ which sum to E.

base graph of a k-matroid

k-matroid (for $k \geqslant 3$) is a matroid in which E is a union of k disjoint bases. For a k-matroid M let $\mathfrak{B}_k(M)$ be a graph whose vertices are sets of k bases $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$ which sum to E. An edge joins two vertices if they have nonempty intersection.

base graph of a k-matroid

k-matroid (for $k \geqslant 3$) is a matroid in which E is a union of k disjoint bases. For a k-matroid M let $\mathfrak{B}_k(M)$ be a graph whose vertices are sets of k bases $\{B_1, \dots, B_k\}$ which sum to E. An edge joins two vertices if they have nonempty intersection.

Proposition (Blasiak '08)

- *WEAK* $\iff$ *for* $k \geqslant 3$ *graph* $\mathfrak{B}_k$ *is connected*

base graph of a k-matroid

k-matroid (for $k \geqslant 3$) is a matroid in which E is a union of k disjoint bases. For a k-matroid M let $\mathfrak{B}_k(M)$ be a graph whose vertices are sets of k bases $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$ which sum to E. An edge joins two vertices if they have nonempty intersection.

Proposition (Blasiak '08)

- *WEAK* $\Longleftrightarrow$ *for* $k \geqslant 3$ *graph* $\mathfrak{B}_k$ *is connected*
- *STRONG* $\Longleftrightarrow$ *for* $k \geqslant 2$ *graph* $\mathfrak{B}_k$ *is connected*

Thank you!